

From: [REDACTED]
To: [A303 Stonehenge](#)
Subject: Redetermination of A303 Stonehenge scheme
Date: 09 March 2022 09:38:11

Dear Sir/ Madam,

I am not a technical expert but I do believe that National Highways seem intent on pushing through a scheme which very few people want and would make the environment worse.

It is clear that there that there is an individual or small group of individuals in NH who are determined to push their tunnel scheme through, no matter what the cost to the environment and ancient feeling of the site and they are also determined not to have a sensible look at the alternatives.

In particular, National Highways has not:

- made any changes to the Scheme to take the 2021 World Heritage Committee [Decision](#) into account;
- acknowledged that the Secretary of State found the Scheme's impact on the proposed western cutting area would be "significantly adverse";
- fully assessed alternative routes less damaging to the World Heritage Site e.g., a southern bypass route would be cheaper even if there might be some problems with it, while a longer tunnel would reduce impact on the World Heritage Site;
- explored alternatives to hard engineering solutions in the context of safeguarding and enhancing the World Heritage Site - e.g. a package of measures to reduce road traffic, road emissions and improve access to the South West;
- updated the scheme construction costs; nor
- updated the carbon assessment and costs.

Many thanks,
Matthew Wintersgill

